AGENCY ESTIMATE

OF THE FISCAL IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTING

HB 183 S1 2011 General Session

School District Leave Policies

Sponsor:Rep. Keith GroverLead Analyst:Ben LeishmanAgency Contact:Emily Eyre 8 March 2011Title:Research Consultant

Agency Utah State Office of Education Office: 801-538-7671 Cell: 801-635-9666

7	O1 . TO						
Α.	Short Form	(For bills that ha	ive no impact of	n the state. local	governments.	businesses.	or individuals.)

If you can check all five boxes to the right, you're almost done. If the bill obviously doesn't have an impact, you're done.

x State agencies will not require an appropriation to implement the bill. There is no fiscal impact on local governments.

There is no fiscal impact on local government

There is no fiscal impact on businesses

There is no fiscal impact on individuals.

x The bill will not affect revenues.

If it isn't so obvious, explain what's going on. The most usual explanation is the codification of existing practices.

Attachments welcome.

If necessary, explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.

B. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact?

Cite specific sections or line numbers.

Lines 66-75

C. Which program gets the appropriation?

Enter 3 letter Appropriation Unit Code.

For m	ultiple	e ap	propri	ations
This is		of		

D. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?

Explain the fiscal impact in plain English, detailing your assumptions, methods, & calculations.

List all direct costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what this \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

The bill prohibits a school board from granting paid association leave for certain employee association or union duties and requires a school district to adopt a policy requiring the costs of employees who are on association leave be reimbursed to the school district. The costs may be provided by an employee, association, or union. The cost of an employee, including benefits, for one day is \$355. This cost is calculated by dividing the state median teacher salary and benefits for fiscal year 2010 (\$63,857) by 180 school days. If a school district allowed association leave prior to January 1, 2011, the local board policy may allow up to 1 0 days of association leave before requiring a reimbursement.

E. REVENUES Select Fund	Current Budg FY 201		Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013			
	Total	0	0	0			
F. COSTS by FUN				D. D. L. W.			
Select Fund	Current Budg FY 201		Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013			
	Total	0	0	0			
G. COSTS by EXP Expenses by Category Personal Servic Trac Current Expen DP Current Expen DP Capital Outl Capital Outl Other/Pass Th	Current Budg FY 201 ees vel use ay ay	get Year	Y, Coming Budget Year FY 2012 0	Future Budget Year FY 2013 0			
II Non State Inc	To a otto		***************************************				
H. Non-State Impacts Your estimate of how will the bill affect: Local Governments Depending on current board policies, some local school boards may have to adjust their policy regarding association leave.							
							Businesses
Individuals	lividuals Individual employees may be required to reimburse the school district for costs associated with their association leave. This cost could be approximately \$355 per						
2010 Version 11.09 day.							

This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future.

Attachments welcome.